

Answering the Papists

Answering Roman Catholic Objections to our Booklet,
Are Catholics Christian?

Case File No. 1

On a facebook thread I made a passing comment that Roman Catholics are not Christian. Soon following I receive this personal message from someone else who happened to be following that particular thread. The following short exchange ensued. I will refer to this person as “DB”¹. Their real name and fb profile are available for those who would like to clarify their identity.

DB

where in the Bible does it say that Roman Catholics are not Christian?

JW

The Bible says:

Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils; Speaking lies in hypocrisy; having their conscience seared with a hot iron; Forbidding to marry, and commanding to abstain from meats, which God hath created to

¹ DB = Romanist objector, JW = defender of Truth (Jeff Wallace)

be received with thanksgiving of them which believe and know the truth. (1 Timothy 4:1-3).

Pure Bible doctrine and that of the Roman Catholic Church are at odds. For a brief dissertation on the subject please see:

<http://onenationundergodsjudgment.com/are%20catholics%20christian.html>

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you

Jeffrey

DB

The points are incomplete and inaccurate, but you are welcome to believe what you wish...but you may be surprised at who is sitting with you at the banquet table.

Peace

JW

Typical 1 sentence response to a 28 page article.

If it is so wrong it should be easy to refute, but thus far no one has loved me enough to correct me.

Romanism is an abomination to Jesus Christ. He calls you to come out.

You are free to believe what you wish as well, but you should quake and greatly fear for Scripture is against Rome. And although I may be surprised at who joins me at the banquet, you can be sure there will be no practicing Romanist there.

DB

²there may be more truth in one sentence than in all of a 28 page article.

² The communiqué were left as sent. Grammatical and spelling errors are in original.

It is not hard to refute, but to men like you it is a waste of time as your minds are set and hearts hardened to the matter. Mine was too. I wasn't raised a Catholic. I come from a fundamentalist background - and my wife - I was led by the Holy Spirit to the Catholic Church.

I will give you one example of the incompleteness of your arguments. Regarding the 10 commandments, these no scriptural basis for the division. There are fourteen statements in the Decalogue, but I don't see anyone getting too concerned about the statement, "Six days shalt thou labour, and do all thy work"

The Catholic division of the 10 commandments follows some of the older lines of division and The first commandment includes what you call the 2nd commandment. It is not passed over or given less emphasis so we can worship idols. If you review Part III of the Catechism "Our Life in Christ" Chapter One you will find that we clearly teach "No other gods before Me" and article IV "you shall not make for yourself a graven image"

Regarding the Muslims, once again you fell short and did not read the rest of the text - or omitted to enhance your point

"Outside the Church there is no salvation"

846 How are we to understand this affirmation, often repeated by the Church Fathers?335 Re-formulated positively, it means that all salvation comes from Christ the Head through the Church which is his Body

it is that way with the rest of them. I think will will print your article and use it as a study guide.

What causes me to fear and quake would be to think there are people - that I had been one of them at one time - who could so easily damn another group of Christians to hell without really knowing what they are talking about.

...and that you do not believe the Apostle Paul when in Romans 10 he says

9 that if you confess with your mouth the Lord Jesus and believe in your heart that God has raised Him from the dead, you will be saved. 10 For with the heart one believes unto righteousness, and with the mouth confession is made unto salvation. 11 For the Scripture says, "Whoever believes on Him will not be put to shame." 12 For there is no distinction between Jew and Greek, for the same Lord over all is rich to all who call upon Him. 13 For "whoever calls on the name of the LORD shall be saved."

Matthew 22: 34 But when the Pharisees heard that He had silenced the Sadducees, they gathered together. 35 Then one of them, a lawyer, asked Him a question, testing Him, and saying, 36 "Teacher, which is the great commandment in the law?"

37 Jesus said to him, " 'You shall love the LORD your God with all your heart, with all your soul, and with all your mind.' 38 This is the first and great commandment. 39 And the second is like it: 'You shall love your neighbor as yourself.' 40 On these two commandments hang all the Law and the Prophets."

sorry for the multiple messages - the application would not handle the larger chunks. God Bless.

JW

DB,

Thanks for your posts. I appreciate the dialog.
Please click the following link for my response.

<http://onenationundergodsjudgment.com/Answering%20Catholics.pdf>

[The present article now replaces the original which is here included.]

In His service

Jeffrey

[Which response follows. A reply was never received by DB]

DB³, thank you for your response, and the opportunity to elucidate the points purposefully made succinct in my tract. Even such a cursory reply to as little as two of the points in this article is very rare.

First of all I want you to understand that I have no personal animosity or ill will to any Romanist. I have family members who are Roman Catholics. I love ALL Roman Catholics. And that love compels me to proclaim the Scriptures to you. On the other hand Romanism as an institution, I loathe with a pure and complete hatred.

Therefore I esteem all thy precepts concerning all things to be right; and I hate every false way. - Psalms 119:128

The Roman Catholic Church, a false way, is far and above the most wicked, godless and anti-Christ institution to have ever existed.

DB, I do believe you were led to Romanism by a spirit, but it wasn't the Holy Spirit, for He will never violate or contradict His Word.

Howbeit when he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you into all truth: for he shall not speak of himself; but whatsoever he shall hear, that shall he speak: and he will shew you things to come. John 16:13

To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them. Isaiah 8:20

DB "there may be more truth in one sentence than in all of a 28 page article."

³ DB here and throughout this response replaces their name as used.

Interesting statement considering the article is simply a comparison of Scripture quotes and Catechism quotes, with very little commentary at all. What are you referring to as void of truth; the Scripture or the Catechism or both?

As for the commandments:

DB "The first commandment includes what you call the 2nd commandment. It is not passed over or given less emphasis so we can worship idols."

If this is true, why is the admonition against images not in the Catechetical formula, which is the list that is taught, not the direct quote from Scripture? Honestly, this is an interesting omission considering the proliferation of images in Romanism.

"Essentials of the Faith: A Guide to the Catechism of the Catholic Church" in its review and explanation of the first commandment never even mentions images. (Chapter 44, pages 167-169) Nor does the Catechism itself when expounding upon this all important issue, except for one page which essentially says, "we've decided that our images are okay".(2084-2141) (I will deal more with this shortly.) This is surely "passed over or given less emphasis" by any standard.

Even so I qualified my statement in the article with just that point as here quoted:

"While the Catholic bible retains verses 4 thru 6, the Traditional Catholic Catechetical Formula removes it from the list making the forbiddance of images a minor byword in the pages of Scripture which the Pope has the power to change anyway."

The list is on page 551 of the small mass market version of the Catechism. Notice the absence of admonition against images in the quote of Deuteronomy. (pg 496 on the large version)

DB "I will give you one example of the incompleteness of your arguments. Regarding the 10 commandments, these no scriptural basis for the division."

Really?

Is there a scriptural basis to divide covetousness in your 9 & 10?

DB, Exodus 20:3-4 are two separate sentences which is the actual 1st and 2nd commandments. Verse 17 is one sentence, the actual 10th commandment.

Ditto Deuteronomy 5:7,8,21.

Although your New American Bible conveniently separates the final verse into 2 sentences, your Douay-Rheims does not. It is even displayed as one sentence in the Exodus quote in your catechism! Although I was able to find 5 other versions (out of nearly 40 that I checked) which separate the thought into 2 sentences as well, the Hebrew does not warrant this separation. (Hence the proper rendering in the Authorized Version)

Interestingly enough, Ex.20:3,4 and Deut 5:7,8, are never combined into one sentence.

My point? Commandments 1 and 2 are separate thoughts, commandment 10 is not, and there is no justification whatsoever to divide the command not to covet into categories.

I read Part III (I assume you meant Section 2) Chapter One, subsections III and IV, wherefrom you quoted: "No other gods before Me" and "you shall not make for yourself a graven image". I see you have a predilection for quoting headings without delving into the actual passage. I will here deal with images in IV.

Firstly, par 2130."Nevertheless, already in the Old Testament, God ordained or permitted the making of images that pointed symbolically toward salvation by the incarnate Word: so it was with the bronze serpent, the ark of the covenant, and the cherubim.

1. I am particularly impressed with the subtle insertion of "or permitted" in the text here. The implications are obvious. The creation of these three mentioned items was exclusively ordered by God for specific purposes. Where is the specific command of God to make little blue and white statues to put in your yard, et.al.?
2. The bronze serpent was destroyed by King Hezekiah because the children of Israel were venerating it. (You can read about this in 2 Kings 18)
3. The ark of the covenant has been missing since at least the time of the Babylonian captivity.
4. The cherubim have been destroyed as well.
5. There has been no subsequent command (or "permission") by God to make any images of anything.

So who decided it was okay for the Roman Catholic Church to make for themselves graven images? It wasn't God.

"the seventh ecumenical council at Nicaea (AD 787) justified . . . the veneration of icons" (2131)

And so Romanists have decided:

“The Christian (read Catholic here, for no real Christian venerates images) veneration of images is not contrary to the first commandment which proscribes idols.”(2132)

Really? And who decided this? Nicaea?

And so basically as I stated above, a little rhetoric to say, “we’ve decided that our images are okay”! How profoundly arrogant!

Nevertheless, I never stated that the Romanist admits he worships images. Notwithstanding, the abortionist can emphatically deny that he is a murderer yet his actions belie his claims.

Succinctly, there is no justification for categorizing covetousness, and ignoring the adverse implications of venerating images.

As for the Muslims.

DB “Regarding the Muslims, once again you fell short and did not read the rest of the text - or omitted to enhance your point “Outside the Church there is no salvation””

You say this as though the quote is part of the text I quoted in the article. The Muslim quote is par 841. Your quote is the heading for par 846 ff. This is a bit disingenuous on your part.

Nevertheless I reexamined both sections “The Church and non-Christians” beginning at 839 and the section “Outside the Church there is no salvation” (the heading of which you quoted as part of par 841) beginning at 846. Examining the content your defense does not hold up.

These passages underlie a certain allusion to a strange type of universalism. The Catholics with whom I have conversed, for the most part, use the teachings in this section to bolster their belief that those who “don’t know any better” and who are “sincere worshipers” of other gods are covered under the umbrella of the mother church.

Hence Mother Teresa’s blasphemy:

"There is only one God and He is God to all; therefore it is important that everyone is seen as equal before God. I've always said we should help a Hindu become a better Hindu, a Muslim become a better Muslim, a Catholic become a better Catholic. We believe our work should be our example to people. We have among us 475 souls - 30 families are Catholics and the rest are all Hindus, Muslims, Sikhs—all different religions. But they all come to our prayers."

"Mother" Teresa, and those who worked with her, never tried to convert to Christ the dying people for whom they cared. Instead, "Mother" Teresa declared: "If in coming face to face with God we accept Him in our lives, then we are converting. We become a better Hindu, a better Muslim, a better Catholic, a better whatever we are. ... What God is in your mind you must accept" (from *Mother Teresa: Her People and Her Work*, by Desmond Doig, p. 156).

This is not only blasphemous but extreme hatred!

In context these catechism passages are under the heading “The Church is Catholic”. Let us quote portions of the Catechism in this section building up to the section in question.

“III. THE CHURCH IS CATHOLIC

What does "catholic" mean?

830 The word "catholic" means "universal," in the sense of "according to the totality" or "in keeping with the whole."

831 "All men are called to belong to the new People of God . . . _he made human nature one in the beginning and has decreed that all his children who were scattered should be finally gathered together as one. . . ."

“Who belongs to the Catholic Church?

836 "All men are called to this catholic unity of the People of God. . . . And to it, in different ways, belong or are ordered: the Catholic faithful, others who believe in Christ, and finally all mankind, called by God's grace to salvation."

This clearly states who “belongs to the Catholic Church” according to the Catechism.

- 1- The Catholic faithful
- 2- Others who believe in Christ
- 3- All mankind

From here, is it so difficult to discern the intent of par 841, that Muslims are ranked among those included in the plan of salvation? This is a supreme reproach to the Word of God.

None the less, the Catechism continues in its unique universalism.

“842 The Church's bond with non-Christian religions is in the first place the common origin and end of the human race: . . . _all share a common destiny, namely God.”

“843 The Catholic Church recognizes in other religions that search, among shadows and images, for the God who is unknown yet near since he gives life and breath and all things and wants all men to be saved. Thus, the Church considers all goodness and truth found in these religions as "a preparation for the Gospel and given by him who enlightens all men that they may at length have life.”

And it is in this context that your quote of "Outside the Church there is no salvation" is set.

This quote, apparently by Augustine, the catechism states “means that all salvation comes from Christ the Head through the Church which is his Body:” (846) and includes those who do not know Christ yet are sincere (847).

Also under the heading of this statement:

“847 This affirmation is not aimed at those who, through no fault of their own, do not know Christ and his Church: . . . who nevertheless seek God with a sincere heart. . . those too may achieve eternal salvation”

“In other words, God’s grace is guiding and saving those who live sincerely and conscientiously good lives, but who have, for whatever reason, honestly never seen the embrace of Christ or the church as a personal religious obligation.” (Dietzen, John J., Catholic Q&A, pg. 375)

And this section on the catholicity of the church is concluded in par 848 which states:

848 "Although in ways known to himself God can lead those who, through no fault of their own, are ignorant of the Gospel, to that faith without which it is impossible to please him, the Church still has the obligation and also the sacred right to evangelize all men."

So in the whole scope of things, it is actually you who have "fallen short or omitted to enhance your point".

The Catechism teaches just as it says, that:

"The plan of salvation also includes . . . the Muslims;"(841)

One Catholic apologist has stated:

"Unity between our two faiths doesn't appear imminent. But God accomplishes remarkable things when he is ready to move." (Dietzen, John J., Catholic Q&A, pg. 385)

This is downright irresponsible. There is no unity between light and darkness. Never has been, never will be.

Seems the only ones reserved for ultimate damnation are those who purposefully reject the Roman Catholic Church.

And finally, what Biblical basis do your popes have for kissing a pagan "holy" book, and praying with all manner of devil worshipers?

I believe it is your arguments that are incomplete and inaccurate.

I know a bit more about Romanism than you have given me credit for.

The copyright on the article is only to protect content. By all means print out in entirety and disseminate as many as you wish.

Praying for your deliverance,
& In His service

Jeffrey

As stated above, DB never responded.---

Case File No. 2

Once again on facebook, this time posted on my wall, by a family member who became agitated by my posts exposing Romanism.

FM⁴

We believe in one God, the Father, the Almighty, maker of heaven and earth, of all that is seen and unseen. We believe in one Lord, Jesus Christ, the only son of God, eternally begotten of the Father, God from God, Light from Light, true God from true God, begotten, not made, one in Being with the Father. Through him... all things were made. For us men and for our salvation he came down from heaven: by the power of the Holy Spirit he was born of the Virgin Mary, and became man. For our sake he was crucified under Pontius Pilate; he suffered, died, and was buried. On the third day he rose again in fulfillment of the Scriptures; he ascended into heaven and is seated at the right hand of the Father. He will come again in glory to judge the living and the dead, and His kingdom will have no end. We believe in the Holy Spirit, the Lord, the giver of life, who proceeds from the Father and the Son. With the Father and the Son he is worshiped and glorified. He has spoken through the Prophets. We believe in one holy catholic and apostolic

⁴ They will be designated as FM for “family member”, and I as JW. Once again, actual names and posts are retained for verification.

Church. We acknowledge one baptism for the forgiveness of sins. We look for the resurrection of the dead, and the life of the world to come.
AMEN

In case you are interested, this is our Nicene Creed. This is what Catholics believe universally. Nowhere does this state that we worship Mary. Although we do believe that as the Mother of God she commands a certain amount of respect and might be considered a Godly woman. Nor do we believe that Muslims are saved unless, of course, they have accepted Jesus Christ as their Lord and Savior. You are welcome in our home to discuss the fundamental beliefs that we hold dear to our heart as do you of your faith beliefs.

It is not Christian of you to post untruths about our faith when you know nothing of them. Again, you are more than welcome to learn about our faith system through sharing in our prayer and worship. We will never condemn or judge you or your faith system or beliefs. We ask the same courtesy of you. While it is wonderful for you to spread the word of God through the internet, it is not wonderful to malign other Christian faiths simply because they are different from your own.

JW

Hmmm.

"it is not Christian of you....."

-and then...

"We will never . . . judge..."

A bit of an inconsistency perhaps?

:)

All I did was quote the Catholic Catechism and compare with Scripture.
Do Catholics believe...

1. Mary was sinless?
2. Mary plays a part in salvation of believers?
3. Mary is a mediator between God and men?
4. Mary suffered with Jesus on the Cross?
5. Mary is the Queen of Heaven?

6. This twofold movement of prayer to Mary has found a privileged expression in the Ave Maria (2676)

Please deal with the points.

These things and the Bible cannot both be right. As stated I believe, Mary is surely blessed among women, and all generations call her blessed, because the Bible says so.

“It is not Christian of you to post untruths about our faith when you know nothing of them.”

Once again, all I did was post direct quotes from the Catechism and direct quotes from the Bible. They just happen to be at odds with one another.

What is untrue?

“In case you are interested, this is our Nicene Creed. This is what Catholics believe universally. Nowhere does this state that we worship Mary.”

I am familiar with the Nicene Creed, but neither is this ALL that Catholics believe.

Did I state somewhere that you worship Mary?

“We will never condemn or judge you or your faith system or beliefs.”

Then why did you post this? My faith system and belief (based on the Bible) says that Romanism is a lie.

“While it is wonderful for you to spread the word of God through the internet, it is not wonderful to malign other Christian faiths simply because they are different from your own.”

It is logically inconsistent to be trying to teach me tolerance while being intolerant.

If “other Christian faiths” are wrong, then spreading “the word of God” would naturally malign them. There is only ONE truth. His name is Jesus and He does not contradict Himself.

FM, I love you. . . , but I hate Romanism as it is contrary to Scripture.

Therefore I esteem all thy precepts concerning all things to be right; and I hate every false way. Psalms 119:128

You are always welcome in my house too.

By the way, the term “Mother of God” is nowhere in Scripture.

FM

I am not foolish enough to get into a scripture war with you. Obviously, you more educated in that arena which is to be commended. I know that no matter how many verses I pull out to support our traditions and beliefs, you will interpret verses that contradict them. The Bible IS the word of God, however, it was written down by man. So, your beliefs are plagued by the same faults that you accuse mine of having. Man is in the mix. Yes, we do believe that Mary is sinless. She was redeemed at the moment of conception by God's Grace. Remembering that the Bible has been translated to English it is the translation of the term "full of Grace" that supports this belief.

Yes, she plays a part in our salvation. It is through her obedience to God's will that we received our Savior. She said yes to God's plan for her. She bore Jesus, raised Him, and followed Him. She knew He was the Savior before anyone else. She presented us the God's gift of life. This is her role in salvation. She does not save us, Jesus does.

Yes, Mary can act as a mediator on our behalf. Our life is proof of this. Mary was asked to intercede on our behalf and days later we received what we had been asking for. You may not be able to understand this, but it doesn't make it any less true. God gave us what we were asking for, but Mary interceded to help us get it.

Yes, Mary suffered with Jesus on the cross the same way any mother would suffer with her child being brutally beaten and slowly tortured to death on a cross. No, she wasn't "on" the cross, she suffered at the foot of it. As would you or I if any one of our children were the victim.

Queen of Heaven? Well, a queen is the highest ranking woman in a given community, so, yes, she is a queen in that respect. Is she to be adored as we do Christ, no. She is to be given the respect that she deserves. This is where our devotion to her comes from .

Faith is more than just knowing the words. It comes from within. The Bible itself is contradictory. The Old Testament teaches Fear of the Lord and the Lord's wrath and punishment. The New Testament shows the Lord as a loving and forgiving Father not to be feared, but trusted.

I can interpret things that you say and do as not being Christ-like or from the Bible, as well. But, that's all it is...my interpretation or judgement. The Bible and my faith tell me that MY interpretation or judgement is not the one that matters. The Nicene Creed does actually house the core beliefs of the Catholic Church. Does the Church have teachings and viewpoints on other matters? Of course. Today's society dictates that as a governing body of the Catholic community the Church must have a point of view on many things that the Bible didn't talk about. The Church tries to interpret the Bible for today's world. Can the Church get it wrong? Well, where there is man there can be mistakes.

We are not condemning. As stated, we wouldn't do that. But, how do you not see that posting things that say Catholics aren't Christian is not condemning us. We respect your right to worship according to how you feel you are being called. We deserve the same respect. It is very hurtful to have anyone say we are not Christian. Jesus is our Savior. We have put Him at the center of our lives. Saying that we aren't Christian is an attempt to strip the very core of our belief system away.

It is ironic that you would call us intolerant. We are not judging you or your choices. We are happy that you are happy. We don't believe as you

do, but we are proud that you have found conviction in your Faith. We are happy that you have found a lifestyle that makes you happy and brings you to the Lord. We just ask that you allow us to do the same. That is tolerance.

We love you all very much and will not let this turn into a holy war. As history has shown there can be no winners in that. We simply felt that it was time to try to let you know what we stand for instead of sitting idly by while you assess our Faith system based on ideas that you have that aren't accurate.

As for the term "Mother of God", Jesus is God, she was the human mother of Jesus. Not everything has to be sinister or have evil meaning. Certainly, this does not.

We both believe in Jesus as our Lord and Savior. This unites us as Christians across the lines of our faith. Jesus does not want to see us at odds. We really do believe in the idea that if you are not against us, you are with us. In other words, as long as we are all living our lives through Christ to the best of our ability, then we are saved Christians and that unites us.

We love you and hope all are well.

JW

FM, I hope you realize that these posts are not a personal affront against you, ... , but rather a warning to all that hold to popery.

The Bible says

There is a way which seemeth right unto a man, but the end thereof are the ways of death.

Proverbs 14:12

The way of Roman Catholicism ends with death. It does not comport with Scripture and is therefore false. I know a little bit more about Romanism than you think.

Your post is so fraught with error that it is difficult to decide which to deal with.

I will deal with three, and if you desire elaboration on any other I will address them.

1.

“Remembering that the Bible has been translated to English it is the translation of the term “full of Grace” that supports this belief.”

Yes remembering that, you have forgotten:

“full of Grace” translated in the King James Version, “highly favoured” is the Greek word, χαριτώ (khar-ee-to’o) This is the same word in Ephesians 1:6 translated as, “made us accepted”. By your argument then, Paul and all those of whom he was speaking was also “sinless” and “redeemed at the moment of conception by God’s Grace”. You cannot have it both ways. If that is what it means in Luke 1:28, then that is what it means in Ephesians 1:6. But it doesn’t, FM. It is a false Catholic doctrine which cannot be substantiated, and indeed is contradicted by Scripture. i.e.; it is a lie.

2.

“The Old Testament teaches Fear of the Lord and the Lord’s wrath and punishment. The New Testament shows the Lord as a loving and forgiving Father not to be feared, but trusted.”

Who told you that?

God’s nature does not change from one testament to another.

For I am the LORD, I change not; therefore ye sons of Jacob are not consumed. Malachi 3:6

Jesus Christ the same yesterday, and to day, and for ever.
Hebrews 13:8

Your theology on the fear of God is greatly in error.

All the attributes you stated are true of God, rather Old or New Testament, all except, “not to be feared”. God is always to be feared.

Ye shall walk after the LORD your God, and fear him, and keep his commandments, and obey his voice, and ye shall serve him, and cleave unto him. Deuteronomy 13:4

O fear the LORD, ye his saints: for there is no want to them that fear him. Psalms 34:9

Let us hear the conclusion of the whole matter: Fear God, and keep his commandments: for this is the whole duty of man. Ecclesiastes 12:13

NEW TESTAMENT:

But I will forewarn you whom ye shall fear: Fear him, which after he hath killed hath power to cast into hell; yea, I say unto you, Fear him. Luke 12:5

Men and brethren, children of the stock of Abraham, and whosoever among you feareth God, to you is the word of this salvation sent. Acts 13:26

And the way of peace have they not known: There is no fear of God before their eyes. Romans 3:17-18

Having therefore these promises, dearly beloved, let us cleanse ourselves from all filthiness of the flesh and spirit, perfecting holiness in the fear of God. 2 Corinthians 7:1

Wherefore, my beloved, as ye have always obeyed, not as in my presence only, but now much more in my absence, work out your own salvation with fear and trembling. Philippians 2:12

It is a fearful thing to fall into the hands of the living God. Hebrews 10:31

Honour all men. Love the brotherhood. Fear God.
Honour the king. 1 Peter 2:17

And a voice came out of the throne, saying, Praise our God, all ye his servants, and ye that fear him, both small and great. Revelation 19:5

3.
(and most grievous of all)

You stated, *"The Bible itself is contradictory."*

And

"The Bible IS the word of God, however, it was written down by man. So, your beliefs are plagued by the same faults that you accuse mine of having. Man is in the mix."

By this belief you cannot know for sure that Jesus died on the cross, or that Peter was an apostle, or that Mary was even the mother of Jesus. After all man is in the mix. He could have fabricated the crucifixion for a little excitement in the plot. Do you see the foolishness of your statements. How can you know what parts were not corrupted by man? You couldn't!

This proves the point of my posts, you do not believe the Bible. The Bible is the inerrant, eternal, incorruptible Words of God. (If you would like to listen, I preached a message on this subject a while back, "The Eternal Word of God". I will post on facebook for your edification.)

For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law, till all be fulfilled. Matthew 5:18

The words of the LORD are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times. Thou shalt

keep them, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation FOR EVER. (emphasis added)

Psalms 12:6-7

God is not a man, that he should lie; neither the son of man, that he should repent: hath he said, and shall he not do it? or hath he spoken, and shall he not make it good?

Numbers 23:19

Maybe the Catholic 'god' is too inept to keep his word from being corrupted. But the True and living God is not. He can and did! The Bible is the only way we have to determine what correct doctrine is.

To the law and to the testimony: if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them.

Isaiah 8:20

These were more noble than those in Thessalonica, in that they received the word with all readiness of mind, and searched the scriptures daily, whether those things were so.

Acts 17:11

God forbid: yea, let God be true, but every man a liar; as it is written, That thou mightest be justified in thy sayings, and mightest overcome when thou art judged.

Romans 3:4

In closing,

I have no power to condemn you. But I do judge you according to the Word of God and it is that which condemns you, and will condemn you on that day if you do not repent. Don't count on purgatory, it is a myth. I stand by the truth that Romanism is not Biblical Christianity.

FM, I love you all but you have not comported not even one of your romanish beliefs with Scripture. You hold to your teachings of “MEN” and denigrate the Bible by saying it is corrupted by “MEN”. This is nonsense.

You have told me I have spoken lies and denigrated Romanism, but all I have done was quote the Catechism. It is Romanish beliefs that denigrate themselves, because they are damnable heresies. The Roman Catholic Church is wicked and an abomination to God.

According to Catholic teachings I am anathema. And by those beliefs you cannot call me a Christian, because I abhor that institution. It has been the damnation of billions.

I am not going to stop exposing the lie of Romanism. In fact I have eight more points in this series, Why Catholics are Not Christians. I desire your critique of them. No other Roman Catholic I have given this booklet to has had the courage to Biblically answer these points. Seems they are all scared of the Bible. They just throw out smug clichés and try to change the subject.

We love you *FM* and pray that you will be delivered from the lie of Romanism.

The Truth is never afraid of a lie, but a lie will always try to extinguish the light of Truth.

[A reply from *FM* was never received]

But a comment was made by a mutual friend:

- **MF** (mutual friend)

I am with *FM*. Have you read the Catholic's version Bible? Your bible may read a little differently than ours but we have one thing in common "We believe in God and Jesus Christ".

- **JW**

Instead of *FM*, you should be with God.

The Bible is clear on the issues I have discussed about Romanism. Please address the issues. Everyone seems to want to express their own carnal opinions but what saith the Word of God?

"let God be true, but every man a liar" (Romans 3:4)

Correct me with Scripture.

To date no one has.

To answer your question I have many Romanist materials including the NAB and the DR Bibles, and the Catechism with supporting Catholic documents which I have indeed studied.

BTW the "god" of the Romanist faith is not He Who is revealed in the Scriptures. This is demonstrated in my articles. If you believe I am wrong, please correct me with Scripture.

{I could address the other errors in the response that was so fraught with them, but I think that would be redundant.}

End note: FM said,

"We have put Him at the center of our lives. Saying that we aren't Christian is an attempt to strip the very core of our belief system away."

Very shortly after this exchange, FM attended a drunken halloween party as 2 pleasure enhancing prophylactics.

So much for the power of God in Catholicism!

There is as yet no **Case File No. 3.**

You may visit us at:

www.ApprehendingTruth.net

email: truth@apprehendingtruth.net

Believe the Bible!

Apprehend Truth!!!

© copyright 2010 ApprehendingTruth.net